

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/943,955 08/31/2001		08/31/2001	Arulkumar P. Shanmugasundram	5918/04/FPS/MMCS/APC/DV 2623			
32588	7590	01/31/2006		EXAMINER			
APPLIED I		•	UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T				
2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1765			
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/31/2006	DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	-				
Office Action Summary			55	SHANMUGASUN	SHANMUGASUNDRAM ET AL.				
			r	Art Unit					
	•	Lynette T	. Umez-Eronini	1765					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINg ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Countries of Months from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory for the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TO CFR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	ATION. Iy be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this on the MDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 November 2	2005						
<i></i>		This action is r							
′=	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 and 34 is/are pending in the	e application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-17,21-24 and 34</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) <u>18-20 and 25-27</u> is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a		equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers				•				
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer							
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2001</u> is		ented or h) Ohie	cted to by the Examin	er				
· - / L	Applicant may not request that any objection to				01.				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		_	• •	ER 1 121(d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the				• •				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:		_	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority document								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			eceived in this National	l Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bosee the attached detailed Office action for a	•	` ''	ani and					
	ree the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the cert	ned copies not re	ceivea.					
Attachmen [.]	r(e)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intention Sur	nmary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	,	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/11/2005</u> .	SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to Applicants' Remarks in Amendment (filed 11/3/2005), which were persuasive in showing the combination of the Campbell et al. (US 6,230,069 B1) in view of Adams et al. (US 5,664,990) failed to teach a model for wafer polishing that defines a plurality of substantially annular regions on a wafer and identifies a wafer material removal rate in a polishing step for each of the regions, as recited in claims 1 and 34. Hence, a new Office Action is presented using art that was cited in a previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 7, "the post-polished"; and

In claim 34, line 5, :the effect 'of the tool state" lacks antecedent basis.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-17, 21-24 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wiswesser et al. (US 6,159,073).

Wiswesser discloses, "... a method of measuring a characteristic of a layer on a substrate during chemical mechanical polishing. . . " (column 2, lines 42-44). The method comprises using a series of polishing stations to effectively polish substrates (column 4, line 53- column 5, line 13).

Wiswesser also discloses "... A radial position is determined for each sampling zone, and the intensity measurements are divided into a plurality of radial ranges according to the radial positions. The characteristic is computed for each radial range from the intensity measurements associated with that radial range" (column 2, lines 54-59).

"Implementation of the invention may include one or more of the following features. The characteristic may be a polishing rate, . . . A measure of polishing uniformity may be calculated from the measured characteristic in each radial range. A model function, such as a sinusoidal function, may be determined for each radial range. The sinusoidal function may be described by a period and a phase offset, in which may

Application/Control Number: 09/943,955

Art Unit: 1765

be computed from a least square fit of the model function to the intensity measurements in the associated radial" (column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 4).

"... The thickness of a substrate layer on a blank wafer may be measured insitu at a plurality of radial positions in order to generate a measure of the polishing uniformity to characterize the effectiveness of the CMP tool and process" (column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 1). The thickness measurements may also be performed when polishing a device wafer to detect the polishing endpoint.

Wiswesser further discloses "... Computer **48** may be programmed to ... store intensity measurement from the detector, to display the intensity measurement on an output device **49**, to calculate the initial thickness, polishing rate, amount removed and remaining thickness from the intensity measurements ..." (column 7, lines 1-9) and the "... if the in situ thickness measurement indicates that the center of the substrate is under polished, the pressure applied to the carrier head to the center of the substrate may be increased to improve polishing uniformity" (column 13, lines 37-44).

The aforementioned reads on,

A method of producing a target wafer thickness profile in a polishing operation, comprising:

a) providing a model for a wafer polishing that defines a plurality of substantially annular regions on a wafer and identifies a wafer material removal rate in a polishing step for each of the regions, wherein the model is based on measurements of one or more wafers that have completed the polishing step; and

(b) polishing a wafer using a polishing recipe based on the model that generates

a target thickness profile for each region, in claims 1 and 14-17.

A method of controlling surface non-uniformity of a wafer in a polishing operation,

comprising:

a) providing a model for a wafer polishing that defines a plurality of regions on a

wafer and a plurality of polishing steps and identifies a wafer material removal rate in a

polishing step of a polishing process for each of the regions;

b) polishing a wafer using a first polishing recipe;

c) determining a wafer thickness profile for the post-polished wafer of step (b);

and

d) calculating an updated polishing model based upon the wafer thickness profile

of step (c) and the model of step (a) and updating the first polishing recipe based on

the updated model to maintain a target wafer thickness profile, in claims 2-13; and

A method of determining a model for wafer thickness profile, comprising:

(a) measuring pre-polished wafer thickness in a region defined on one or more

wafers;

(b) polishing the one or more wafers, wherein polishing comprises polishing the

one or more wafers in a plurality of polishing steps;

(c) measuring the wafer material removal rate for the one or more wafers after

each of the polishing steps of step (b);

(d) providing a model defining the effect of tool state on polishing effectiveness;

and

(e) recording the pre-polished and post-polished wafer thicknesses on a recordable medium, in claims 21-24; and

A method of producing a target wafer thickness profile in a polishing operation, comprising:

- a) providing a model for a wafer polishing that identifies a region on a wafer, identifies a wafer material removal rate in a polishing step, and defines the effect of the tool state on polishing effectiveness; and
- (b) polishing a wafer using a polishing recipe based on the model that generates a target thickness profile for each region, in claim 34.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 18-20, and 25-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claims 18-20, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to suggest, teach, or render obvious a method of producing a target wafer thickness profile in a polishing operation, wherein the wafer removal for a region j (AR'_j) in the model of step (a) is determined according to the equation as recited in claim 18, and in combination with the rest of the limitations of the said claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,955

Art Unit: 1765

As to claims 25-27, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to suggest, teach, or render obvious a method of determining a model for wafer thickness profile comprising: wherein the wafer removal for a region j (AR'_{j}) in the model of step (a) is determined according to the equation as recited in claim 25 and in combination with the rest of the limitations of the said claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments, see Remarks, filed 11/3/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-17, 21-24, and 34 27 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Campbell US 6,230,069 B1) in view of Adams (US 5,664, 990) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Wiswesser (US 6,159,073).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is 571-272-1470. The examiner is normally unavailable on the First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,955

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit 1765

Page 8

Itue

January 19, 2006